# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America	a )			
v.	)			
XAVIER JAMAR HALL,	, ) )	Case No.	7:20-CR-190-D	
Defendant				
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL				
After conducting a detention he require that the defendant be detained p	_	Reform Act, 18 U	U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Find	ings of Fact		
(1) The defendant is charged with a	n offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 31	42(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of □ a federal offense □	a state or local offense	that would hav	re been a federal offense if federal	
jurisdiction had existed - the	hat is			
a crime of violence as d for which the prison ten		3156(a)(4)or an	offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the	e maximum sentence is	death or life in	nprisonment.	
☐ an offense for which a n	naximum prison term (	of ten years or m	nore is prescribed in	
•	-	•	.*	
a felony committed afte described in 18 U.S.C. §			two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a	crime of violence but i	nvolves:		
□ a minor victim				
☐ the possession or us	se of a firearm or destr	uctive device or	any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register	under 18 U.S.C. § 225	0	•	
☐ (2) The offense described in fine federal, state release or local		d while the defe	ndant was on release pending trial for a	
☐ (3) A period of less than five ye	ars has elapsed since t	he 🗆 date of	conviction □ the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense of	lescribed in finding (1)	).		
			that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
	Alternative I	indings (A)		
☐ (1) There is probable cause to b	pelieve that the defend	ant has committ	ed an offense	
	rison term of ten years	or more is pres	cribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) & 846 .	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(	c).			

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

### Eastern District of North Carolina

(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure
	the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

## **Alternative Findings (B)**

- X (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- X (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

#### Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and

convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that

the government has met its burden of proof. Defendant is a danger to the community and a serious risk of flight. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance or the safety of the community. The court incorporates by reference its discussion in open court on December 2, 2020, of the factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g).

#### Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 2, 2020	4-Dever
		Judge's Signature
		James C. Dever III, United States District Judge
		Name and Title